



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/538,643

12/28/2005

Ichiro Takeda

030685-052

3704

55694 7590 02/12/2008  
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH (DC)  
1500 K STREET, N.W.  
SUITE 1100  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209

EXAMINER

NIESZ, JASON KAROL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

4147

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/12/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/538,643	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TAKEDA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JASON K. NIESZ	<b>Art Unit</b> 4147	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 June 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/10/2005</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Priority***

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d).

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 6/10/2005 is being considered by the examiner.

### ***Specification***

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page one of the specification ends with the line "and/or methods constitute prior art. Applicant expressly reserves the right to", page two also begins with this line. It was obviously copied twice in error.

Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. In Re all claims pending in this action the examiner notes that a method is considered anticipated if a prior art device would, in the course of ordinary use and operation, necessarily perform the method (MPEP 2112.02).

6. Claims 1, 3, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gustavsson et al. (US Patent 5,908,651).

7. In Re claims 1 and 9 Gustavsson with reference to Figure 1 discloses a method in which liquid is delivered from a storage tank (12) (Column 3, line 8) into a filler tank (26) (Column 3, line 38) from which said liquid is filled into containers (Column 3, lines 38-40), the liquid in said filler tank being returned through return piping (32) (Column 3, lines 45-50) to said storage tank.

8. In Re claims 3 and 11 Gustavsson discloses the carrying out of said refluxing during filling (Column 3, lines 44-45).

9. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 21, 22, 24, 28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent Publication 11-249466 (hereinafter referred to as JPP).

10. Re claims 1, 4, 9 and 12 JPP with reference to Figure 1 discloses a method in which liquid is delivered from a storage tank (4) (Paragraph 10) through a heat sterilizer (8)(Paragraph 10) into a filler tank (22) (Paragraph 11) from which said liquid is filled into containers (Paragraph 11), the liquid in said filler tank being returned through return piping (32) (Paragraph 12) through a cooler (16)(Paragraph 12) to said storage tank.

11. In Re claims 3, 6, 11, 14 and 18 JPP discloses refluxing said fluid during suspension of filling (Paragraph 25).

12. In Re claims 7, 15, 19, 21 and 28 JPP discloses the use of a level sensor in tank 22 (Paragraph 18) through which volume is detected and surplus volume made to flow back into the supply tank (Paragraph 18) thereby limiting the flow into filler tank 22.

13. In Re claims 8, 16, 22, 24 and 31 JPP discloses a method in which fluid is directed from filler tank 22 through valve 30 to filler 24 during filling(Paragraph 11), causing a the amount of fluid returned through line 32 to be smaller than the amount supplied to tank 22. Furthermore JPP discloses a method wherein the fluid from tank 22 is directed by valve 30 entirely through the return line 32 during a period of suspension of filling (Paragraphs 24 and 25). The examiner notes that this causes filler tank 22 to return 100% of the fluid provided to it during this period of suspension of filling.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

15. Claims 2, 5, 10, 13, 17, 20, 23, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JPP in view of Catelli (US Patent 4,809,595).

16. In Re claims 2, 5, 10, 13, 17, 20, 23, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30 and 32 JPP discloses all limitations but doesn't disclose a beverage containing a solid component. Catelli discloses the bottling of a mixture of juice and pulp (Column 1, lines 32-36). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the sterilizing and bottling method from JPP to sterilize and bottle the juice from Catelli, in order to prepare the product for distribution.

***Conclusion***

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mendez (US Patent 6,120,824) discloses a beverage containing a solid component and a method for heat treating said beverage..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON K. NIESZ whose telephone number is (571)270-3920. The examiner can normally be reached on mon-fri 9-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4491. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason K Niesz  
Examiner  
Art Unit 4147

/Ninh H. Nguyen/

Application/Control Number: 10/538,643  
Art Unit: 3745

Page 6

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3745